arXiv:1703.02494v1 [math.DG] 7 Mar 2017

GLOBAL UNIQUENESS OF LARGE STABLE CMC SURFACES IN ASYMPTOTICALLY FLAT RIEMANNIAN 3-MANIFOLDS

OTIS CHODOSH AND MICHAEL EICHMAIR

ABSTRACT. Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass and which has non-negative scalar curvature.

We show that there are no *outlying* closed embedded stable constant mean curvature surfaces in (M, g) whose area radius is much larger than their distance from the center of the manifold. On the way, we uncover a subtle relationship between the optimal constant in the classical Minkowski inequality and the estimate on the Hawking quasi-local mass due to D. Christodoulou and S.-T. Yau.

Together with the contributions of G. Huisken and S.-T. Yau [28], J. Qing and G. Tian [42], S. Brendle and the second-named author [4], as well as the work of A. Carlotto and the authors [6], our result here completes the unconditional characterization of large closed embedded stable constant mean curvature surfaces in those (M, g) with vanishing scalar curvature: they are all leaves of the canonical foliation discovered by G. Huisken and S.-T. Yau in [28].

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian 3-manifold with non-negative scalar curvature. The Hawking quasi-local mass

$$m_H(\Sigma) = \sqrt{\frac{\mathrm{area}(\Sigma)}{16\pi}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{16\pi} \int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu\right)$$

of a closed stable constant mean curvature sphere $\Sigma \subset M$ is non-negative. This crucial insight of D. Christodoulou and S.-T. Yau [13] has initiated the use of such surfaces to test the strength of the gravitational field in the context of maximal initial data (M, g) for the Einstein equations. When (M, g) is asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass, then a foundational result of G. Huisken and S.-T. Yau [28] and its refinement by J. Qing and G. Tian [42] show that the large stable constant mean curvature spheres that *include the center* of (M, g) foliate the complement of a compact subset of M. In particular, they give rise to a canonical coordinate system of the end of (M, g). Moreover, G. Huisken and S.-T. Yau [28] show that these surfaces give rise to a definition of a *geometric center of mass* of (M, g). We also mention in this context the recent work of C. Cederbaum and Ch. Nerz [8], and the survey of the related literature therein.

The role of *outlying* closed stable constant mean curvature spheres $\Sigma \subset M$ has remained opaque for a long time. It was recently shown by S. Brendle and the second-named author [4] that large outlying stable constant mean curvature spheres *can* exist in (M, g) asymptotic to Schwarzschild manifolds with positive mass. The methods developed in [4] illustrate how the key tool — based on the study of mass flux integrals — employed in [28, 42] loses its grip in

this setting. Their work (see Theorem 1.6 below) points at the subtle role of scalar curvature in this problem.

In this paper, we complete the *unconditional* characterization as leaves of the canonical foliation of large connected closed embedded stable constant mean curvature surfaces when (M, g) is asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass.

We lay out standard notions and conventions that we follow throughout this paper in Appendix A.

We begin with a precise statement of the result of G. Huisken and S.-T. Yau [28].

Theorem 1.1 (G. Huisken and S.-T. Yau [28])**.** *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is C^4-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$. There is a certain family of embedded stable constant mean curvature spheres $\{\Sigma_H\}_{0<H<H_0}$ that foliate the complement of a compact subset $C \subset M$. For every $s \in (1/2, 1]$, there is $0 < H_0(s) < H_0$ with the following property. Let $0 < H < H_0(s)$. Then Σ_H is the unique stable constant mean curvature sphere of mean curvature H in (M, g) that encloses the centered coordinate ball $B_{H^{-s}}$.*

The surface Σ_H is close to the centered coordinate sphere $S_{2/H}$. R. Ye [47] has given an alternative construction of the foliation. It has been shown by J. Metzger [34] that Theorem 1.1 holds when (M, g) is C^2-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass.

The uniqueness statement in Theorem 1.1 has been sharpened in the work of J. Qing and G. Tian [42].

Theorem 1.2 (J. Qing and G. Tian [42])**.** *Assumptions as in Theorem 1.1. The following uniqueness result holds, possibly after shrinking $H_0 > 0$ first and increasing the compact subset $C \subset M$ accordingly. Among all stable constant mean curvature spheres in (M, g) that enclose C, Σ_H is the unique one with mean curvature H.*

We refer to $\{\Sigma_H\}_{0<H<H_0}$ as the *canonical foliation* of the end of (M, g).

We explain in Appendix B why large closed stable constant mean curvature surfaces $\Sigma \subset M$ are necessarily spheres, provided their inner radius $r_0(\Sigma)$ is sufficiently large. This extends the scope of the uniqueness statement in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to surfaces of all genus.

If we include the assumption of non-negative scalar curvature, these uniqueness statements can be sharpened further. To explain this, we recall the following result from our joint work with A. Carlotto [6].

Theorem 1.3 (A. Carlotto, O. Chodosh, and M. Eichmair [6])**.** *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that has non-negative scalar curvature and which is C^2-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$. Let $C \subset M$ be compact. There is a constant $\alpha(C) > 0$ with the following property. Every connected closed stable constant mean curvature surface $\Sigma \subset M$ with*

$$\operatorname{area}(\Sigma) \geq \alpha(C)$$

is disjoint from C.

The same conclusion holds for asymptotically flat complete Riemannian 3-manifolds whose scalar curvature is positive everywhere, as was proven in earlier joint work of J. Metzger with

the second-named author [16]. The proof of [16, 6] are closely related to the mechanism behind the minimal hypersurface proof of the positive mass theorem due to R. Schoen and S.-T. Yau [44].

In conjunction with Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, one obtains the following corollary.

Corollary 1.4. *Assumptions as in Theorem 1.3. Fix a point $p \in M$. Every connected closed stable constant mean curvature surface $\Sigma \subset M$ that has sufficiently large area and which encloses p is part of the canonical foliation.*

We now turn to the available uniqueness and non-uniqueness results for closed stable constant mean curvature surfaces that do not enclose the center of the manifold. We call such surfaces *outlying*. In general, the conclusions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 fail for such spheres.

Theorem 1.5 (S. Brendle and M. Eichmair [4])**.** *Let $\eta > 0$ and $m > 0$ be given. There is a complete Riemannian 3-manifold (M, g) that is smoothly asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$ as well as a sequence of outlying stable constant mean curvature spheres $\Sigma_k \subset M$ with*

$$r_0(\Sigma_k) \to \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_0(\Sigma_k) H(\Sigma_k) \to \eta.$$

To elaborate, in [4], one chooses $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $2(|\xi| - 1) = \eta$. For an appropriate sequence of $\lambda_k \to \infty$, Σ_k is a perturbation of the coordinate sphere $S_{\lambda_k}(\lambda_k \xi)$ in the chart at infinity. In particular, $r_0(\Sigma_k) \sim \lambda_k(|\xi| - 1)$ and $H(\Sigma_k) \sim 2/\lambda_k$.

In stark constrast, it has been shown in [4] that — under slightly stronger assumptions on the metric — no such examples can exist when the scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-negative.

Theorem 1.6 (S. Brendle and M. Eichmair [4])**.** *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$ in the following sense:*

$$g_{ij} = \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 \delta_{ij} + T_{ij} + o(|x|^{-2}) \tag{1}$$

with corresponding estimates for all partial derivatives of order ≤ 4, and where T_{ij} is homogeneous of degree -2. Assume that the scalar curvature satisfies $R \geq -o(|x|^{-4})$. There is no sequence of stable constant mean curvature surfaces Σ_k in M with

$$r_0(\Sigma_k) \to \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_0(\Sigma_k) H(\Sigma_k) \to \eta > 0.$$

In our forthcoming work [11], we investigate further the role of homogeneity in (1) and scalar curvature in the previous result. In particular, we show that the conclusion of Theorem 1.6 can fail if we drop the homogeneity condition on T_{ij} — even when the scalar curvature is non-negative. However, we may replace this condition by the assumption that the scalar curvature is — to highest order — radially non-increasing.

Theorem 1.7 ([11])**.** *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is C^5-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass. We also assume that the scalar curvature satisfies*

$$x^i \partial_i R \leq o(|x|^{-4}). \tag{2}$$

There is is no sequence of stable constant mean curvature surfaces Σ_k in M with

$$r_0(\Sigma_k) \to \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_0(\Sigma_k) H(\Sigma_k) \to \eta > 0.$$

Note that if (M, g) satisfies the homogeneity condition (1) in Theorem 1.6, then the assumption (2) on the scalar curvature in Theorem 1.7 is equivalent to $R \geq -o(|x|^{-4})$.

1.1. **Main results.** Our main contribution is to completely resolve the picture for *outlying* large closed stable constant mean curvature surfaces $\Sigma \subset M$ by showing that their distance $r_0(\Sigma)$ from the center of (M, g) is at least comparable to their area radius $\sqrt{\text{area}(\Sigma)/(4\pi)} \sim 2/H(\Sigma)$.

Theorem 1.8. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold whose scalar curvature is non-negative*[1] *and which is C^2-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$. There is a constant $\eta > 0$ with the following property. For every outlying*[2] *connected closed stable constant mean curvature surface $\Sigma \subset M$ of sufficiently large area, we have*

$$r_0(\Sigma) H(\Sigma) \geq \eta. \tag{3}$$

The center of mass flux integrals on which the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based vanish on outlying surfaces. We have to develop a new strategy for the proof of Theorem 1.8.

To obtain the optimal *unconditional* uniqueness result for large closed stable constant mean curvature surfaces, it remains to rule out outlying such $\Sigma \subset M$ for which the quantity $r_0(\Sigma)H(\Sigma)$ in (3) is *very* large. Geometrically, this condition means that the distance of the surface from the center of (M, g) is much larger than its area radius. In our forthcoming work [11], we will rule out the existence of such surfaces appropriately extending the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction of [4] to this regime. In particular, combining Theorem 1.8 with the analysis contained in [11] will yield

Theorem 1.9. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is C^6-asymptotic to Schwarzschild of mass $m > 0$. We also assume that the scalar curvature is non-negative and satisfies*

$$x^i x^j \partial_i \partial_j R \geq 0$$

outside of a bounded set in the chart at infinity. Then, every connected closed stable constant mean curvature surface $\Sigma \subset M$ of sufficiently large area is part of the canonical foliation.

The analysis of the Lyapunov–Schmidt reduction that we present in [11] is quite involved. It turns out that the conclusion of Theorem 1.9 can fail under marginally weaker assumptions on the scalar curvature.

We conclude with an important special case of Theorem 1.9 is as follows:

Corollary 1.10. *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is C^6-asymptotic to Schwarzschild of mass $m > 0$ and whose scalar curvature vanishes. Every connected closed*

[1] As in [4], it suffices to assume $R \geq -o(|x|^{-4})$. We will not belabor this point further.

[2] Recall that outlying means that Σ encloses a bounded region that is disjoint from the center of the manifold. The case where Σ is not outlying is completely understood by earlier work; cf. Corollary 1.4.

stable constant mean curvature surface $\Sigma \subset M$ of sufficiently large area is part of the canonical foliation.

1.2. **Overview of related results.** L.-H. Huang [22, 23] has extended the conclusion of Theorem 1.1 to the case of *asymptotically even* asymptotically flat initial data (M, g). Subsequently, C. Nerz [36] has extended thesse results to general asymptotically flat metrics. S. Ma [31, 33] has extended Theorem 1.2 to asymptotically flat (M, g) with $q = 1$ in (23). We also mention the recent work of C. Cederbaum and C. Nerz [8] on the relationship between the canonical foliation and the center of mass of (M, g). C. Nerz [37] has developed a characterization of asymptotically flat data via existence of a foliation through stable constant mean curvature spheres under certain conditions. S. Ma [32] discusses the importance of stability in these results.

We cannot drop the condition that the metric be asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass in Theorem 1.8, Theorem 1.9 or Corollary 1.10. Indeed, A. Carlotto and R. Schoen [7] have given examples of complete Riemannian 3-manifolds (M, g) that are asymptotically flat (23) with $q < 1$ and which vanishing scalar curvature that contain a Euclidean half-space. In particular, such (M, g) contain *many* stable constant mean curvature spheres of any volume. On the other hand, in joint work [12] with Y. Shi and H. Yu, we have shown that in every asymptotically flat complete Riemannian 3-manifold *except* Euclidean space, the leaves of the canonical foliation are the unconditionally unique solutions of the *isoperimetric problem* for the volume they enclose. Note that such a conclusion fails dramatically in Euclidean space.

Theorem 1.11 (O. Chodosh, M. Eichmair, Y. Shi, and H. Yu [12])**.** *Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold that is C^2-asymptotically flat and which has non-negative scalar curvature. Unless (M, g) is isometric to flat $\mathbb{R}^3$, for every sufficiently large $V > 0$, there is a unique surface of least area that encloses volume V in (M, g). This surface is a leaf of the canonical foliation.*

We also refer to earlier joint work of the second-named author and J. Metzger [17, 18] that establishes Theorem 1.11 (in all dimensions) for (M, g) C^0-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with positive mass and without assumption on the scalar curvature, building on an ingenious argument developed by H. Bray [2] to characterize the isoperimetric regions in exact Schwarzschild (4). The proof of Theorem 1.11 uses crucially insights of G. Huisken [24, 26] on quasi-local isoperimetric mass as well as the ideas and contributions of X.-Q. Fan, P. Miao, Y. Shi, and L.-F. Tam [19] and of J. Jauregui and D. Lee [29].

We remark that analogous questions about large stable constant mean curvature surfaces and about the isoperimetric problem can also be asked in asymptotically hyperbolic geometries. A. Neves and G. Tian have proven results [38, 39] along the lines of Theorem 1.1. The isoperimetric problem has been studied by J. Corvino, A. Gerek, M. Greenberg and B. Krummel [14], the first-named author [10], and D. Ji, Y. Shi, and B. Zhu [30]. We emphasize that there are no results analogous to Theorem 1.9 or Theorem 1.11 in this setting. These questions seem very hard.

Finally, we mention the work of S. Brendle [3] on the *global* uniqueness of closed embedded constant mean curvature surfaces in the exact Schwarzschild geometry.

Theorem 1.12 (S. Brendle [3])**.** *Let $m > 0$. Every closed constant mean curvature surface in the exact Schwarzschild geometry*

$$\left(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| \geq m/2\}, \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\right)^4 dx^i \otimes dx^i \right) \tag{4}$$

is a centered coordinate sphere $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = r\}$ where $r \geq \frac{m}{2}$.

Note that this result does not require the surfaces to be large or stable.

1.3. **Remarks on the proof of Theorem 1.8.** The proofs of uniqueness in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on comparison of certain mass flux integrals and delicate error analysis. The proof of Theorem 1.5 in [4] demonstrates how such arguments — based entirely on the Schwarzschild background — lose their grip on outlying surfaces. Indeed, the decisive mass flux integrals used in [28, 42] all vanish simultaneously. The proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 in [4] already point at the key role of scalar curvature in this problem.

The quantity

$$16\pi - \int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu$$

where $\Sigma \subset M$ is a sphere as in the statement of Theorem 1.8 is small, and — by work of D. Christodoulou and S.-T. Yau [13] — bounded below by

$$\frac{2}{3} \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu.$$

Comparing this quantity with its Euclidean counterpart

$$16\pi - \int_\Sigma \bar{H}^2 d\bar{\mu} = -2 \int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2 d\bar{\mu},$$

we discover a favorable term

$$m^2 \int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X, \bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6} d\bar{\mu} \tag{5}$$

owing to the Schwarzschild background. Bounding (5) from above yields an estimate of $r_0(\Sigma)$ from below.

However, in order to capitalize on this potentially good term (5), we need to control error terms related to the deviation of the Euclidean mean curvature of Σ from constant. Controlling such deviation in L^2 is sufficient for us, so at first pass, we refer to a quantitative version of Schur's lemma due to C. De Lellis and S. Müller. This leads to the a priori estimate for mean curvature

$$\bar{H} = H\, O(1).$$

In conjunction with Allard's theorem and a blow-down argument, this estimate gives us strong analytic control on Σ. More precisely, it follows that Σ is $C^{1,\alpha}$-close to a large coordinate sphere in the chart at infinity.

The trouble with the application of the De Lellis–Müller estimate above is that it uses up too much of the favorable terms

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2 d\bar{\mu} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu \tag{6}$$

coming from the Euclidean bending energy and the Christodoulou–Yau estimate. However, at this point, the proximity in $C^{1,\alpha}$ of Σ to a large coordinate sphere allows us to show that the classical Minkowski inequality (with the sharp constant) *almost* holds for Σ. Using this inequality instead of the De Lellis–Müller estimate, we obtain improved roundness estimates for Σ. With these new estimates, the error terms that arise in the argument can all be absorbed into the favorable terms (6), and we can finish the argument by using the full force of the ensuing estimate for (5) in terms of H.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to H. Bray, S. Brendle, G. Huisken, and J. Metzger for many helpful conversations on the subject of this paper over the years. O. Chodosh has been supported in part by the EPSRC grant EP/K00865X/1. M. Eichmair has been supported by the START-Project Y963-N35 of the Austrian Science Fund.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.8

Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold with non-negative scalar curvature that is asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$.

In the chart at infinity, we have

$$g_{ij} = \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij}$$

where

$$\partial_I \sigma_{ij} = O(|x|^{-2-|I|})$$

as $|x| \to \infty$ for all multi-indices I with $|I| = 0, 1, 2$. We let

$$\bar{g} = \sum_{i=1}^3 dx^i \otimes dx^i \qquad \text{and} \qquad g_m = \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 \sum_{i=1}^3 dx^i \otimes dx^i$$

in the chart at infinity. We write

$$X = x^i \partial_i$$

to denote the position vector field.

We will compute geometric quantities with respect to g, the Euclidean metric $\bar{g}$, and the Schwarzschild metric g_m in the chart at infinity. To distinguish them, we add a bar for Euclidean quantities and a subscript S for Schwarzschild quantities.

Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be an outlying connected closed stable constant mean curvature surface with large area. It follows from Theorem 1.3 that the region enclosed by Σ is disjoint from B_2. We explain in Appendix B why Σ has the topology of a sphere.

We identify Σ with the corresponding surface in the chart at infinity and abbreviate

$$r_0 = \sup\{r > 1 : \Sigma \cap B_1(0) = \emptyset\}.$$

We use $d\mu$, h, and H to denote the surface measure, the second fundamental form, and the mean curvature of Σ.

Error terms of the form $o(1)$ in the argument below are as $\mathrm{area}(\Sigma) \to \infty$.

From the work of D. Christodoulou and S.-T. Yau [13], we have

$$\frac{2}{3}\int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu \leq \frac{2}{3}\int_\Sigma (R + |\mathring{h}|^2) d\mu \leq 16\pi - \int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, by Corollary B.7,

$$16\pi - \int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu = 16\pi - H^2 \,\mathrm{area}(\Sigma) = o(1). \tag{8}$$

By Lemma 5.2 in [28], for every $\gamma > 2$,

$$\int_\Sigma |x|^{-\gamma} d\mu = O(|x|^{2-\gamma}). \tag{9}$$

Lemma 2.1 (Area element comparison)**.** *We have*

$$d\mu = \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 \Big(1 + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\, \sigma + O(|x|^{-4})\Big) d\bar{\mu}.$$

Proof. This is a straightforward computation; see, for example, [27, p. 418]. □

Lemma 2.2 (Mean curvature comparison)**.** *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^2 H = \bar{H} &- \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1} \frac{2m}{|x|^3}\, \bar{g}(X, \bar{\nu}) \\ &- g_\Sigma(\sigma, h) + \frac{1}{2} H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\, \nabla_\nu \sigma \\ &+ O(|x|^{-4}) + O(|h||x|^{-3}).\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Proof. Consider the conformally related metric

$$\hat{g} = \bar{g} + \hat{\sigma} \qquad \text{where} \qquad \sigma = \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 \hat{\sigma}$$

and note that

$$\Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^2 H = \hat{H} - \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1} \frac{2m}{|x|^3}\, \hat{g}(X, \hat{\nu})$$

by e.g. Lemma 1.4 in [28]. On the other hand, we know from e.g. [27, p. 418] that

$$\hat{H} = \bar{H} - \hat{g}_\Sigma(\hat{\sigma}, \hat{h}) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{H}\hat{\sigma}(\hat{\nu},\hat{\nu}) - \hat{\mathrm{tr}}_\Sigma(\hat{\nabla}_{\,\cdot}\,\hat{\sigma})(\hat{\nu},\,\cdot\,) + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\mathrm{tr}}_\Sigma \hat{\nabla}_{\hat{\nu}}\hat{\sigma} + O(|\hat{\sigma}||\partial\hat{\sigma}|) + O(|\hat{h}||\hat{\sigma}|^2)$$

and hence, using elementary estimates,

$$\hat{H} = \bar{H} - g_\Sigma(\sigma, h) + \frac{1}{2} H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\, \nabla_\nu\sigma + O(|x|^{-4}) + O(|h||x|^{-3}).$$

The assertion follows. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\delta > 0$. Then*

$$\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta}\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} \leq \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu + O(r_0^{-4}). \tag{11}$$

Proof. First, note that

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} = \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}_S|^2_S d\mu_S.$$

by conformal invariance. Moreover,

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu_S = (1 + O(r_0^{-2})) \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu.$$

A standard estimate, cf. [27, pp. 417–418], gives

$$|\mathring{h}| = |\mathring{h}_S|_S + O(|h||x|^{-2}) + O(|x|^{-3}).$$

Using Young's inequality, we obtain

$$|\mathring{h}_S|^2_S \leq |\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2}|\mathring{h}|^2 + \frac{\delta}{2}|\mathring{h}_S|^2_S + |h|^2 O(|x|^{-4}) + O(|x|^{-6}).$$

Using the previous estimates together with (7) and (9), we obtain

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} = \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}_S|^2_S d\mu_S \leq \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu + \delta \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu + \delta \int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} + O(r_0^{-4}).$$

This proves the assertion. □

By work of C. De Lellis and S. Müller [15], there is a constant $\Gamma > 1$ such that

$$\int_\Sigma |\bar{H} - 2/\lambda|^2 d\bar{\mu} \leq 2\,\Gamma \int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2 d\bar{\mu} \tag{12}$$

holds for appropriate choice of $\lambda > 0$.

We will use (12) to derive an estimate (15) for the Hawking mass of Σ that is positioned against the Christodoulou-Yau estimate (7). The deviation from Schwarzschild is treated as error in this part of the argument.

First, note that (12) in conjunction with a straightforward blow-down argument gives

$$\lambda\, H = 2 + o(1). \tag{13}$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 H^2 \\
&= \Big(\bar{H} - \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1} \frac{2m}{|x|^3}\, \bar{g}(X, \bar{\nu})\Big)^2 \\
&\quad + 2\Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^2 H\Big(- g_\Sigma(\sigma, h) + \frac{1}{2} H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\, \nabla_\nu \sigma\Big) \\
&\quad + O(|x|^{-6}) + O(|h|^2|x|^{-4}) \\
&= \Big(\bar{H} - \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1} \frac{2m}{|x|^3}\, \bar{g}(X, \bar{\nu})\Big)^2 \\
&\quad + 2H\Big(- g_\Sigma(\sigma, h) + \frac{1}{2} H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\, \nabla_\nu \sigma\Big)
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ O(|x|^{-5}) + O(|h|^2|x|^{-3}).$$

In particular, we obtain the following coarse estimate

$$\Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2 = \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 H^2 + O(|h|^2|x|^{-2}) + O(|x|^{-4}). \tag{14}$$

As $\mathrm{area}(\Sigma)\to\infty$, using the full estimate above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu &= \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2\Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-4} d\mu \\
&\quad + 2H\int_\Sigma \Big(- g_\Sigma(\sigma,h) + \frac12 H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big) d\mu \\
&\quad + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3}) d\mu \\
&= \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2\Big(1+\frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\sigma + O(|x|^{-4})\Big) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + 2H\int_\Sigma \Big(- g_\Sigma(\sigma,h) + \frac12 H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big) d\mu \\
&\quad + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3}) d\mu \\
&= \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2\Big(\frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\sigma + O(|x|^{-4})\Big) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + 2H\int_\Sigma \Big(- g_\Sigma(\sigma,h) + \frac12 H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big) d\mu \\
&\quad + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3}) d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

We use (14) to estimate the second line.

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma H^2\Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4\Big(\frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\sigma + O(|x|^{-4})\Big) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + 2H\int_\Sigma \Big(- g_\Sigma(\sigma,h) + \frac12 H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \frac12\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big) d\mu \\
&\quad + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3}) d\mu \\
&= \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + H\int_\Sigma \Big(\frac12 H\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\sigma - 2g_\Sigma(\sigma,h) + H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - 2\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big) d\mu \\
&\quad + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3}) d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + H\int_\Sigma \Big(-\frac{1}{2}H\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\sigma + H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - 2\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big) d\mu \\
&\quad + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3} + H|\mathring{h}||x|^{-2}) d\mu.
\end{aligned}
$$

The first of these terms is *precisely* the Schwarzschild contribution.

We now expand the Schwarzschild term.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{2m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)\Big)^2 d\bar\mu &= \int_\Sigma \bar H^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\quad - \int_\Sigma \bar H\Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\frac{4m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-2}\frac{4m^2}{|x|^6}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)^2 d\bar\mu \\
&= 16\pi + 2\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar h}|^2_{\bar g} d\bar\mu \\
&\quad - \int_\Sigma \bar H\frac{4m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma \bar H\Big(1-\Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-1}\Big)\frac{4m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-2}\frac{4m^2}{|x|^6}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)^2 d\bar\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that

$$
\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar g(X,\bar\nu)}{|x|^3} d\bar\mu = 0
$$

since Σ does not enclose the origin. We choose τ with $2 < \tau < 8/3$ and continue to estimate.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= 16\pi + 2\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar h}|^2_{\bar g} d\bar\mu \\
&\quad - \int_\Sigma \Big(\bar H - \frac{2}{\lambda}\Big)\frac{4m}{|x|^3}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma \bar H\frac{4m^2}{|x|^3(2|x|+m)}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu) d\bar\mu \\
&\quad + \int_\Sigma \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-2}\frac{4m^2}{|x|^6}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\geq 16\pi + 2\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar h}|^2_{\bar g} d\bar\mu \\
&\quad - \frac{\tau}{2}\int_\Sigma \big|\bar H - \frac{2}{\lambda}\big|^2 d\bar\mu \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\tau}\int_\Sigma \frac{4m^2}{|x|^6}\,\bar g(X,\bar\nu)^2 d\bar\mu
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\int_\Sigma \bar{H}\frac{4m^2}{|x|^3(2|x|+m)}\,\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+\int_\Sigma \Big(1+\frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^{-2}\frac{4m^2}{|x|^6}\,\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2 d\bar{\mu}$$

We use the estimate of De Lellis and Müller (12) on the second line. We also combine the third with the last line.

$$\geq 16\pi + (2-\tau\Gamma)\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+\int_\Sigma \bar{H}\frac{4m^2}{|x|^3(2|x|+m)}\,\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+4m^2\Big(1-\frac{2}{\tau}\Big)\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6}d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+O\int_\Sigma |x|^{-5}d\bar{\mu}$$

We estimate the second line using the standard estimate $\bar{H} = H + O(|x|^{-2}) + O(|h||x|^{-1})$; cf. [27, p. 418].

$$= 16\pi + (2-\tau\Gamma)\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+4m^2\Big(1-\frac{2}{\tau}\Big)\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6}d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5}+H|x|^{-3}+|h||x|^{-4})d\bar{\mu}.$$

It remains to estimate the contribution of the deviation σ from Schwarzschild in the above expression for $\int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu$. The coarse bound[3]

$$H\int_\Sigma \Big(-\frac{1}{2}H\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\sigma + H\sigma(\nu,\nu) - 2\,\mathrm{tr}_\Sigma(\nabla_{\,\cdot}\,\sigma)(\nu,\,\cdot\,) + \mathrm{tr}_\Sigma\,\nabla_\nu\sigma\Big)d\mu$$
$$= O\int_\Sigma (H^2|x|^{-2} + H|x|^{-3})d\mu$$

is all we need here.

In conclusion, we obtain

$$\int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu \geq 16\pi + (2-\tau\Gamma)\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} \tag{15}$$
$$+4m^2\Big(1-\frac{2}{\tau}\Big)\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6}d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+\frac{2}{\lambda}\,O\int_\Omega |x|^{-4}d\bar{\mu}$$

[3]It would be possible to relate this expression to the integral of the scalar curvature of g over Ω by repeating the integration by parts as in the proof of Lemma 7.4 in [27]. However, the resulting term has an unfavorable sign. Indeed, positive scalar curvature tends to *increase* the Hawking mass.

$$+ O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3} + H|\mathring{h}||x|^{-2} + H|x|^{-3} + H^2|x|^{-2})d\mu.$$

Combining the Christodoulou-Yau estimate (7) with (11), (13), and (15), we obtain

(16)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{2}{3}\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} + 2 - \tau\Gamma\Big)\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} + 4\,m^2\Big(1 - \frac{2}{\tau}\Big)\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6} d\bar{\mu} \\
&\leq O(r_0^{-4}) + \frac{2}{\lambda} O\int_\Omega |x|^{-4} d\bar{\mu} + O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3} + H|\mathring{h}||x|^{-2} + H|x|^{-3} + H^2|x|^{-2})d\mu \\
&\leq O(r_0^{-3}) + H\,O(r_0^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

We emphasize that the second term in the first line of (16) is owed to the Schwarzschild background. It can be estimated effectively as follows.

Lemma 2.4. *There is $c > 0$ depending only on (M, g) such that*

$$r_0^2 \int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6} d\bar{\mu} \geq c \tag{17}$$

provided area(Σ) *is sufficiently large.*

Proof. If we rescale the chart at infinity homothetically by the inner radius r_0, then the rescaled surface Σ is smoothly close to a plane a unit distance from the origin. □

We can now prove a preliminary version of Theorem 1.8. The proof uses the crucial bound

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu \leq O(\text{area}(\Sigma)^{-1/2}) = H\,O(1) \tag{18}$$

which follows from the Christodoulou-Yau estimate (7), the upper bound for the Hawking mass obtained in Proposition C.1 using global arguments developed by G. Huisken and T. Ilmanen [27], and Corollary B.7.

Lemma 2.5. *There is $\eta > 0$ so that*

$$r_0(\Sigma) H(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \eta. \tag{19}$$

Proof. We choose $\tau = 3$ in (16). Rearranging (16) and using (17), we obtain[4]

$$r_0^{-2} \leq O(r_0^{-3}) + H\,O(r_0^{-1}) + O(1)\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}.$$

From (18) and the elementary estimate (11), we obtain

$$r_0^{-2} \leq H\,O(1).$$ □

Using that the second fundamental for of Σ is bounded (see Lemma B.3), we obtain from (10) the coarse estimate

$$\bar{H} = H\,O(1) + O(|x|^{-2}).$$

[4]We will show below that the De Lellis-Müller estimate (12) holds with $\Gamma = 1 + o(1)$ on Σ. We may then choose $\tau > 2$ to arrange for the coefficient of $\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}$ in (16) to be positive. At this point of the argument, however, we have to treat this term as error.

Moreover, we have seen that

$$|x|^{-2} \leq H\, O(1)$$

along Σ by (19). Hence, we obtain

$$\bar{H} = H\, O(1). \tag{20}$$

From this, we see the homothetically rescaled surface

$$\tilde{\Sigma} = H\, \Sigma$$

in the chart at infinity have bounded mean curvature with respect to the *Euclidean* background metric.

Corollary 2.6. *Assume that*

$$r_0(\Sigma_k) H(\Sigma_k) \to 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{area}(\Sigma_k) \to \infty$$

as $k \to \infty$. Let $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Upon passing to a subsequence, the rescaled surfaces

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_k = H(\Sigma_k)\, \Sigma_k$$

converge in $C^{1,\alpha}$ to a unit coordinate sphere $S_1(\xi)$ where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ with $|\xi| = 1$.

Proof. The subsequential convergence (smooth with multiplicity one) away from the origin follows from Lemma 3.1 in [42]; see the proof of Proposition B.6 for an alternative argument. The result is now an immediate consequence of Allard's regularity theorem, cf. [46, Theorem 23.1], using (20) and the area-growth bound from Lemma B.4. □

Lemma 2.7. *We have that*

$$\inf_{\lambda > 0} \int_\Sigma \left| \bar{H} - 2/\lambda \right|^2 d\bar{\mu} \leq 2(1 + o(1)) \int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}. \tag{21}$$

Proof. It follows from (8) and (11) that

$$\int_\Sigma \bar{H}^2 d\bar{\mu} = \int_\Sigma H^2 d\mu + O(r_0^{-1}) = O(1).$$

Moreover, by (11) and (7),

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} = o(1).$$

Combining the Minkowski inequality proved in Proposition D.4 with Corollary 2.6, we find

$$16\pi \leq (2/\lambda)^2 \operatorname{area}_{\bar{g}}(\Sigma) + o(1) \int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}.$$

where $\lambda > 0$ satisfies

$$\frac{2}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{area}_{\bar{g}}(\Sigma)} \int_\Sigma \bar{H} d\bar{\mu} > 0.$$

From this, the Gauss equation, and the Gauss-Bonnet formula, we obtain the desired estimate by

$$\int_\Sigma |\bar{H} - 2/\lambda|^2 d\bar{\mu} = \int_\Sigma \bar{H}^2 d\bar{\mu} - (2/\lambda)^2 \operatorname{area}_{\bar{g}}(\Sigma)$$

$$= 16\pi + 2\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} - (2/\lambda)^2 \mathrm{area}_{\bar{g}}(\Sigma)$$
$$\leq 2(1+o(1))\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu}. \qquad \square$$

Note that (21) just says that (16) holds with $\Gamma = 1 + o(1)$ for our surface Σ. Thus,

$$\Big(\frac{2}{3}\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} + 2 - \tau + o(1)\Big)\int_\Sigma |\mathring{\bar{h}}|^2_{\bar{g}} d\bar{\mu} + 4\,m^2\Big(1 - \frac{2}{\tau}\Big)\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6} d\bar{\mu}$$
$$\leq O(r_0^{-4}) + \frac{2}{\lambda} O\int_\Omega |x|^{-4} d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+ O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3} + H|\mathring{h}||x|^{-2} + H|x|^{-3} + H^2|x|^{-2}) d\mu.$$

We choose $\tau > 2$ and $\delta > 0$ so that[5]

$$\frac{2}{3}\frac{1-\delta}{1+\delta} + 2 - \tau > 0.$$

It follows that

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{\bar{g}(X,\bar{\nu})^2}{|x|^6} d\bar{\mu} \leq O(r_0^{-4}) + \frac{2}{\lambda}\, O\int_\Omega |x|^{-4} d\bar{\mu}$$
$$+ O\int_\Sigma (|x|^{-5} + |h|^2|x|^{-3} + H|\mathring{h}||x|^{-2} + H|x|^{-3} + H^2|x|^{-2}) d\mu$$
$$\leq O(r_0^{-3}) + HO(r_0^{-1})$$

where we have also used (13). Together with Lemma 2.4, this gives

$$r_0^{-2} \leq O(r_0^{-3}) + O(r_0^{-1})H.$$

In other words, there is $\eta > 0$ with

$$\eta < r_0(\Sigma) H(\Sigma).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8.

Appendix A. Terminology and conventions

Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian 3-manifold.

We say that (M, g) is *C^k-asymptotically flat* of rate $q > 1/2$ if there exist a compact set $K \subset M$ and a diffeomorphism

$$M \setminus K \cong \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| > 1/2\} \tag{22}$$

such that — in this *chart at infinity* — we have

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + O(|x|^{-q}) \qquad \text{as} \qquad |x| \to \infty \tag{23}$$

with corresponding estimates for all partial derivatives up to and including order k. We also assume that the boundary of M is a minimal surface in (M, g), and that there are no other closed minimal surfaces in M except for the components of the boundary. As discussed in Section 4 of

[5]It is crucial that we have carried along the term on the left-hand side of the Christodoulou–Yau estimate (7).

[27], it follows that M is diffeomorphic to the complement of a finite union of open balls with disjoint closures in $\mathbb{R}^3$. Finally, we require that the scalar curvature of (M, g) is integrable.

Given $r > 1$, we write S_r for the surface in M that corresponds to the centered coordinate sphere $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = r\}$ in the chart at infinity. We let B_r denote the bounded open region in M that is enclosed by S_r. Given a subset $A \subset M$, we let

$$r_0(A) = \sup\{r > 1 : A \cap B_r = \emptyset\}.$$

We say that (M, g) is *C^k-asymptotic to Schwarzschild with mass $m > 0$* if, instead of (23), the stronger decay condition

$$g_{ij} = \Big(1 + \frac{m}{2|x|}\Big)^4 \delta_{ij} + \sigma_{ij} \tag{24}$$

holds, where

$$\partial_I \sigma_{ij} = O(|x|^{-2-|I|}) \qquad \text{as} \qquad |x| \to \infty$$

for all multi-indices I of order $|I| \leq k$.

In this paper, we consider surfaces $\Sigma \subset M$ where M is a 3-manifold. We emphasize that this diction means that Σ is an embedded surface.

A *stable constant mean surface* in a Riemannian manifold is a stable critical point of the area functional with respect to compactly supported, volume preserving deformations. Surfaces that enclose a given amount of volume with the least amount of area possible, i.e. isoperimetric surfaces, have this property.

Appendix B. Outlying stable CMC surfaces are spheres

Let (M, g) be an asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifold and $\eta > 0$. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a connected closed stable constant mean curvature surface in (M, g) with

$$\Sigma \cap B_2 = \emptyset.$$

Our goal in this section is to show that there is $r_0 > 1$ depending only on (M, g) such that

$$r_0(\Sigma) \geq r_0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \Sigma \text{ has genus 0.}$$

Lemma B.1. *There is a constant $r_0 > 1$ depending only on (M, g) and $\eta > 0$ such that if*

$$r_0(\Sigma) H(\Sigma) \geq \eta \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_0(\Sigma) \geq r_0$$

then Σ is smoothly close to a coordinate sphere in the chart at infinity.

Proof. This follows from a straightforward scaling argument; cf. [4, p. 676]. □

Lemma B.2. *We have that*

$$\text{area}(\Sigma) H(\Sigma)^2 \leq \frac{64\pi}{3} + o(1) \tag{25}$$

as $r_0(\Sigma) \to \infty$.

Proof. As noted in Lemma 2.5 in [16], the estimate of the Hawking mass due to D. Christodoulou and S.-T. Yau in [13] for surfaces Σ with genus zero can be adapted to surfaces of larger genus using Brill-Noether theory, exactly as in the proof of Theorem 12 in [43]. This leads to the estimate

$$\operatorname{area}(\Sigma)H(\Sigma)^2 + \frac{2}{3}\int_\Sigma (|\mathring{h}|^2 + R)d\mu \leq \frac{64}{3}\pi.$$

The assertion now follows as in the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [28] for surfaces of genus zero. □

Lemma B.3. *There is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on (M, g) and $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$r_0(\Sigma)H(\Sigma) \leq \eta \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \sup_{x\in\Sigma} \big(|x|\,|h(x)|\big) \leq c. \tag{26}$$

Proof. This follows from a scaling argument; cf. Section 2 in [16] and the references therein. □

Lemma B.4. *There are constants $r_0 > 1$ and $c > 0$ depending only on (M, g) and $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$r_0(\Sigma)H(\Sigma) \leq \eta \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_0(\Sigma) \geq r_0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \sup_{r>1} \frac{\operatorname{area}(\Sigma \cap B_r)}{r^2} \leq c. \tag{27}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma B.3 in [16] using (25) and (26). □

In the following lemma, we recall a version of the classical Bernstein theorem. The idea of the proof below goes back to L. Simon [45].

Lemma B.5. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ be a non-empty, connected, properly embedded, two-sided surface without boundary such that*

$$\int_\Sigma |h|^2 f^2 d\mu \leq \int_\Sigma |\nabla f|^2 d\mu$$

for every $f \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ and

$$\sup_{r>0} \frac{\operatorname{area}(\Sigma \cap B_r(0))}{r^2} < \infty.$$

Then Σ is a plane.

Proof. Using the quadratic area-growth, we can find a sequence of functions $f_j \in C_c^\infty(\Sigma)$ with

$$f_j \to 1$$

locally uniformly and

$$\int_\Sigma |\nabla f_j|^2 d\mu \to 0.$$

For example, we may use for $f_j : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}$ a smooth version of the function

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |x| \leq 1/j^2 \\ 2 - \log(|x|)/\log(1/j) & \text{if } 1/j^2 \leq |x| \leq 1/j \\ 1 & \text{if } 1/j \leq |x| \leq j \\ 2 - \log(|x|)/\log(j) & \text{if } j \leq |x| \leq j^2 \\ 0 & \text{if } |x| \geq j^2. \end{cases}$$

By Fatou's lemma,

$$\int_\Sigma |h|^2 d\mu \leq \liminf_j \int_\Sigma |h|^2 f_j^2 d\mu \leq \liminf_j \int_\Sigma |\nabla f_j|^2 d\mu = 0.$$

□

Proposition B.6. *There is a constant $r_0 > 1$ depending only on (M, g) such that*

$$r_0(\Sigma) \geq r_0 \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \Sigma \text{ has genus zero.}$$

Proof. If $r_0(\Sigma_i)H(\Sigma_i) \geq \eta$ for some $\eta > 0$ fixed, this follows immediately from Lemma B.1. As such, it suffices to consider a sequence $\{\Sigma_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ of connected closed stable constant mean curvature surfaces in (M, g) with $\Sigma_i \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty} r_0(\Sigma_i)H(\Sigma_i) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad r_0(\Sigma_i) \to \infty.$$

Let $x_i \in \Sigma_i$ be a critical point of the distance function $|\cdot|$ restricted to Σ_i in the chart at infinity. We claim that for all i sufficiently large, the critical point is a non-degenerate local minimum or local maximum. To see this, let $r_i = |x_i|$ and consider the rescaled surfaces

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_i = \frac{1}{r_i}\Sigma_i$$

in the chart at infinity. We denote the rescaled critical points by $\tilde{x}_i$. The corresponding rescaling of the asymptotically flat metric converge to the Euclidean metric on $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. We have that

$$\sup_{\tilde{x}\in\tilde{\Sigma}_i} |\tilde{x}||h_{\tilde{\Sigma}_i}(\tilde{x})| < \infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \sup_{\tilde{r}>0} \frac{\text{area}(\tilde{\Sigma}_i \cap B_{\tilde{r}}(0))}{\tilde{r}^2} < \infty$$

where both the second fundamental form and the area are computed with respect to the Euclidean metric. We view these surfaces as immersions with base points $\tilde{x}_i$. Upon passing to a subsequence, we may extract a limiting immersion $\varphi_\infty : \Sigma_\infty \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ with base point x_∞ so $\varphi(x_\infty) = \lim_{i\to\infty} \tilde{x}_i = \tilde{x}_\infty$. Note that this immersion is tangent to $S_1(0)$ at x_∞. Moreover, the immersion is complete away from the origin. In fact, it inherits the bounds for its curvature and its (quadratic) area growth from the sequence. Finally, note that it is a stable constant mean curvature immersion that does not cross itself.

Assume first that the constant mean curvature of the limiting immersion vanishes. A compensation argument as in the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [16] shows that $\varphi_\infty : \Sigma_\infty \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ is stable as a minimal immersion. We may adapt the proof of Lemma B.5 to this situation to conclude that such an immersion is a plane. This plane is tangent to $S_1(0)$ at $\tilde{x}_\infty$.

Assume now that the constant mean curvature of the limiting immersion is non-zero. Using (25) and the curvature bounds, we see that $\varphi_\infty : \Sigma_\infty \to \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ is bounded. We may apply a variation of the argument of J. Barbosa and M. do Carmo [1] due to F. Morgan and M. Ritoré [35] to handle the potential singularity at the origin to show that the limiting immersion is umbilic and thus a sphere. This sphere is tangent to $S_1(0)$ at $\tilde{x}_\infty$.

Elementary Morse theory implies that Σ_i has genus zero provided that i is sufficiently large.

□

The argument in the corollary below is contained in the proof of Proposition 5.3 in [28]. We include the argument for completeness.

Corollary B.7 ([28])**.** *We have*

$$\operatorname{area}(\Sigma)H(\Sigma)^2 = 16\pi + o(1) \tag{28}$$

as $r_0(\Sigma) \to \infty$.

Proof. Thanks to Proposition B.6, we may assume that Σ has genus zero. We then know that

$$\int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu = o(1) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_\Sigma |x|^{-3} d\mu = o(1)$$

from the results Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 5.3 in [28]. According to the Gauss equation,

$$2K = R - 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nu,\nu) - |h|^2 + H^2 = R - 2\operatorname{Ric}(\nu,\nu) - |\mathring{h}|^2 + H^2/2.$$

The asserted estimate (28) follows form the Gauss-Bonnet formula and the preceding estimates. □

Appendix C. Estimates for the Willmore deficit

An estimate of the form (29) has been given in [10, 12] for isoperimetric regions. It has been noted in Appendix C of [9] that these arguments carry over to the case where Σ is not necessarily outward minimizing. We adapt these ideas below.

Proposition C.1. *Assume that (M, g) is a complete asymptotically flat Riemannian 3-manifold with non-negative scalar curvature. Let $\Sigma \subset M$ be a stable constant mean curvature sphere with $\Sigma \cap B_2 = \emptyset$. Then,*

$$\operatorname{area}(\Sigma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu \leq O(1) \tag{29}$$

as $r_0(\Sigma) \to \infty$ and $\operatorname{area}(\Sigma) \to \infty$.

Proof. Let $\Omega \subset M$ be the unique compact region $\Omega \subset M$ with $\Sigma = \partial\Omega$. We let $\Omega' \subset M$ denote its least area enclosure in (M, g). Recall from Theorem 1.3 in [27] that the boundary Σ' of Ω' is a $C^{1,1}$ surface that is smooth away from Σ. By [27, (1.15)], the weak mean curvature of Σ' satisfies $H_{\Sigma'} = 0$ on $\Sigma' \setminus \Sigma$ and $H_{\Sigma'} = H_\Sigma$ for $\mathscr{H}^2$-a.e. point in the coincidence set $\Sigma' \cap \Sigma$. In particular, we have

$$\int_{\Sigma'} H_{\Sigma'}^2 d\mu \leq \int_\Sigma H_\Sigma^2 d\mu.$$

There is a weak solution in the sense of [27, p. 365] to inverse mean curvature flow starting at Σ' by Lemma 5.6 in [27]. The monotonicity of the Hawking mass along the flow [27, (5.24)],

combined with Lemma 7.3 in [27] gives[6]

$$\mathrm{area}(\Sigma')^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(16\pi - \int_{\Sigma'} H_{\Sigma'}^2 d\mu\right) \leq (16\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} m_{ADM}$$

so that

$$\mathrm{area}(\Sigma')^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big(16\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H_{\Sigma}^2 d\mu\Big) \leq (16\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} m_{ADM}. \tag{30}$$

Now [13] implies

$$\frac{2}{3} \int_{\Sigma} |\mathring{h}|^2 d\mu \leq 16\pi - \int_{\Sigma} H_{\Sigma}^2 d\mu. \tag{31}$$

Following the proof of Proposition B.6, if we rescale by $r = \sqrt{\mathrm{area}(\Sigma)/(4\pi)}$ in the chart at infinity, then the rescaled surface $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is smoothly close to a unit radius coordinate sphere in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$ by (28). (An alternative proof of this assertion is given in Lemma 3.1 of [42].) A coarse Euclidean comparison scales back to the estimate

$$\mathrm{area}(\Sigma) = (1 + o(1))\, \mathrm{area}(\Sigma'). \tag{32}$$

The assertion follows from combing (30), (31), and (32). □

Appendix D. A remark on the Minkowski inequality

The contribution here is an estimate for the remainder in the second order Taylor expansion of the Minkowski quantity

$$\int_{\Sigma} H - \sqrt{16\pi\, \mathrm{area}(\Sigma)}$$

at the unit sphere of Euclidean space. The idea of computing and using the second variation of this quantity appears in the thesis of D. Perez [41].

All geometric expressions in this section are with respect to the Euclidean metric. We abbreviate

$$S = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = 1\}.$$

Let $f \in C^2(S)$ with $\|f\|_{C^1(S)}$ small, say $\|f\|_{C^1(S)} < 1/2$. Let

$$\Sigma = \{(1 + f(\theta))\theta : \theta \in S\}.$$

Lemma D.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Sigma} H - \sqrt{16\pi\, \mathrm{area}(\Sigma)} =& \frac{1}{2\pi}\Big(\int_S f\Big)^2 - 2\int_S f^2 + \int_S |\nabla f|^2 \\ &+ O(1) \int_S (f^3 + |\nabla f|^3 + |\nabla df||\nabla f|^2 + |\nabla df| f^2) \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

as $f \to 0$ in $C^1(S)$.

[6]The argument in [27] requires stronger asymptotic assumptions for (M, g) than we have assumed, namely it requires that $q = 1$ in (23). Note that this case suffices for the application in the proof of Theorem 1.8. To cover the full range $q > 1/2$, we can argue exactly as in Appendix I of [12].

Proof. Let

$$\mathscr{M}(t) = \int_{\Sigma_t} H_{\Sigma_t} - \sqrt{16\pi\,\mathrm{area}(\Sigma_t)}$$

for $t \in [0,1]$ where $\Sigma_t \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the surface

$$\Sigma_t = \{(1 + t\,f(\theta))\theta : \theta \in S\}.$$

Taylor's theorem gives

$$\mathscr{M}(1) = \mathscr{M}(0) + \mathscr{M}'(0) + \frac{1}{2}\mathscr{M}''(0) + \frac{1}{6}\mathscr{M}'''(t).$$

The computation in the proof of Proposition 4.1 in [41] shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{M}(0) &= 0 \\
\mathscr{M}'(0) &= 0 \\
\mathscr{M}''(0) &= \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\int_S f\right)^2 - 2\int_S f^2 + \int_S |\nabla f|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

To see that $\mathscr{M}''''(t)$ has the asserted form, we recall the quasi-linear structure of mean curvature below. □

We briefly recall the quasi-linear structure of mean curvature that is used in the proof of (33). Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be open. The mean curvature vector field of an immersion $\varphi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by

$$g^{ij}(\partial_i\partial_j\varphi - \Gamma^k_{ij}\partial_k\varphi).$$

Here,

$$g_{ij} = (\partial_i\varphi)\cdot(\partial_j\varphi)$$

are the components of the first fundamental form, g^{ij} are the components of its inverse, and

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{k\ell}\big(\partial_i g_{\ell j} + \partial_j g_{i\ell} - \partial_\ell g_{ij}\big)$$

are the Christoffel-symbols. This expression is *linear* in the second order partial derivatives of the immersion.

Next, note that there is a universal constant $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ — independent of a particular choice of f — with the following property. For every $v \in \overline{B_\delta(0)}$, the translate $\Sigma + v$ is a C^2 graph over S.

Lemma D.2. *Assume that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is the graph of a function $f \in C^1(S)$ with small norm. There is $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ small such that the translate $\Sigma' = \Sigma + v$ is the graph of a function $f' \in C^1(S)$ with small norm so that*

$$\int_S x^1\,f' = \int_S x^2\,f' = \int_S x^3\,f' = 0. \tag{34}$$

We may homothetically rescale Σ' slightly to the graph of a function $f'' \in C^1(S)$ where

$$\int_S f'' = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_S x^1\,f'' = \int_S x^2\,f'' = \int_S x^3\,f'' = 0. \tag{35}$$

Proof. Let $f_v \in C^1(S)$ be such that

$$\{v + (1 + f(\theta))\theta : \theta \in S\} = \Sigma + v = \{(1 + f_v(\theta))\theta : \theta \in S\}.$$

For $f = 0$, note that $f_v(\theta) = \theta \cdot v + O(|v|^2)$. In general, we find

$$f_v(\theta) = \theta \cdot v + O(|v|^2) + O(\|f\|_{C^1}).$$

Let $\delta \in (0, 1/2)$ be small. Consider the map

$$\Xi : \{v \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |v| \leq \delta\} \to \mathbb{R}^3 \qquad \text{given by} \qquad v \mapsto v - \frac{3}{4\pi} \int_S (x^1, x^2, x^3) f_v.$$

It follows that

$$\Xi(v) = O(|v|^2) + O(\|f\|_{C^1}).$$

In particular, Ξ has a fixed point v provided that $\|f\|_{C^1}$ is sufficiently small. □

Clearly,

$$\int_S (|\nabla df||\nabla f|^2 + |\nabla df| f^2 + |\nabla f|^3 + f^3) = o(1) \int_S (f^2 + |\nabla f|^2 + |\nabla df|^2) \tag{36}$$

as $f \to 0$ in $C^1(S)$. Moreover, the Bochner formula on the sphere gives

$$\int_S |\nabla df|^2 = 2 \int_S |\nabla df - (\Delta f/2) g|^2 + 2 \int_S |\nabla f|^2. \tag{37}$$

Lemma D.3. *We have*

$$\int_S |\nabla df - (\Delta f/2) g|^2 \leq O(1) \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 + o(1) \int_S (f^2 + |\nabla f|^2)$$

as $f \to 0$ in $C^1(S)$.

Proof. The trace-free second fundamental form of Σ can be computed as

$$(\nabla df - (\Delta f/2) g) + \beta_1(f, \nabla f) * \nabla^2 f + \beta_2(f, \nabla f)$$

where $\beta_1(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ and $\beta_2(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ are smooth functions that vanish when both their arguments vanish; cf. Lemma 2.3 in [40]. We now use (37) to absorb the $\beta_1(f, \nabla f) * \nabla^2 f$ term. □

From now on, we assume that the moment conditions (34) and (35) hold. Then

$$\int_S |\nabla f|^2 \geq 6 \int_S f^2$$

from which the estimate

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \Big(\int_S f \Big)^2 - 2 \int_S f^2 + \int_S |\nabla f|^2 \geq \frac{1}{3} \int_S (f^2 + |\nabla f|^2)$$

follows. Putting this together, we obtain

$$\sqrt{16\pi \operatorname{area}(\Sigma)} \leq \int_\Sigma H + o(1) \int_S |\nabla df - (\nabla f/2) g|^2 - \frac{1}{4} \int_S (f^2 + |\nabla f|^2)$$

as $f \to 0$ in $C^1(S)$. In combination with Lemma D.3, we obtain our final result in this section.

Proposition D.4. *We have that*

$$\sqrt{16\pi \operatorname{area}(\Sigma)} \leq \int_\Sigma H + o(1) \int_\Sigma |\mathring{h}|^2 \tag{38}$$

as Σ *converges to* S *in* C^1.

Note that for Σ sufficiently close to S in $C^2(S)$,

$$\sqrt{16\pi \operatorname{area}(\Sigma)} \leq \int_\Sigma H$$

holds by the classical Minkowski inequality for *convex* surfaces. The Minkowski inequality has been generalized to mean-convex star-shaped surfaces [20, 21, 5] — see also Theorem 3.3 in [41] — and outer-minimizing surfaces [25].

References

[1] João Lucas Barbosa and Manfredo do Carmo, *Stability of hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature*, Math. Z. **185** (1984), no. 3, 339–353. MR 731682

[2] Hubert Bray, *The Penrose inequality in general relativity and volume comparison theorems involving scalar curvature*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1997, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Stanford University. MR 2696584

[3] Simon Brendle, *Constant mean curvature surfaces in warped product manifolds*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **117** (2013), 247–269. MR 3090261

[4] Simon Brendle and Michael Eichmair, *Large outlying stable constant mean curvature spheres in initial data sets*, Invent. Math. **197** (2014), no. 3, 663–682. MR 3251832

[5] Simon Brendle, Pei-Ken Hung, and Mu-Tao Wang, *A Minkowski inequality for hypersurfaces in the anti-de Sitter-Schwarzschild manifold*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **69** (2016), no. 1, 124–144. MR 3433631

[6] Alessandro Carlotto, Otis Chodosh, and Michael Eichmair, *Effective versions of the positive mass theorem*, Invent. Math. **206** (2016), no. 3, 975–1016. MR 3573977

[7] Alessandro Carlotto and Richard Schoen, *Localized solutions of the Einstein constraint equations*, Invent. Math. **205** (2016), no. 3, 559–615. MR 3539922

[8] Carla Cederbaum and Christopher Nerz, *Explicit Riemannian manifolds with unexpectedly behaving center of mass*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **16** (2015), no. 7, 1609–1631. MR 3356098

[9] Otis Chodosh, *The geometry of asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Ph.D. thesis, Stanford University, 2015.

[10] ———, *Large isoperimetric regions in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, Comm. Math. Phys. **343** (2016), no. 2, 393–443. MR 3477343

[11] Otis Chodosh and Michael Eichmair, *On far-outlying stable constant mean curvature spheres in strongly asymptotically flat* 3*-manifolds*, preprint (2017).

[12] Otis Chodosh, Michael Eichmair, Yuguang Shi, and Haobin Yu, *Isoperimetry, scalar curvature, and mass in asymptotically flat Riemannian* 3*-manifolds*, preprint, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1606.04626` (2016).

[13] Demetrios Christodoulou and Shing-Tung Yau, *Some remarks on the quasi-local mass*, Mathematics and general relativity (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math., vol. 71, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, pp. 9–14. MR 954405

[14] Justin Corvino, Aydin Gerek, Michael Greenberg, and Brian Krummel, *On isoperimetric surfaces in general relativity*, Pacific J. Math. **231** (2007), no. 1, 63–84. MR 2304622

[15] Camillo De Lellis and Stefan Müller, *Optimal rigidity estimates for nearly umbilical surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **69** (2005), no. 1, 75–110. MR 2169583

[16] Michael Eichmair and Jan Metzger, *On large volume preserving stable CMC surfaces in initial data sets*, J. Differential Geom. **91** (2012), no. 1, 81–102. MR 2944962

[17] ———, *Large isoperimetric surfaces in initial data sets*, J. Differential Geom. **94** (2013), no. 1, 159–186. MR 3031863

[18] ———, *Unique isoperimetric foliations of asymptotically flat manifolds in all dimensions*, Invent. Math. **194** (2013), no. 3, 591–630. MR 3127063

[19] Xu-Qian Fan, Yuguang Shi, and Luen-Fai Tam, *Large-sphere and small-sphere limits of the Brown-York mass*, Comm. Anal. Geom. **17** (2009), no. 1, 37–72. MR 2495833

[20] Pengfei Guan and Junfang Li, *The quermassintegral inequalities for k-convex starshaped domains*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 5, 1725–1732. MR 2522433

[21] Pengfei Guan, Xi-Nan Ma, Neil Trudinger, and Xiaohua Zhu, *A form of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **6** (2010), no. 4, Special Issue: In honor of Joseph J. Kohn. Part 2, 999–1012. MR 2742035

[22] Lan-Hsuan Huang, *Foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature for isolated systems with general asymptotics*, Comm. Math. Phys. **300** (2010), no. 2, 331–373. MR 2728728

[23] ———, *On the center of mass in general relativity*, Fifth International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 51, pt. 1, vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012, pp. 575–591. MR 2908093

[24] Gerhard Huisken, *An isoperimetric concept for mass and quasilocal mass*, Oberwolfach Rep., no. 2, 2006, pp. 87–88.

[25] ———, *Inverse mean curvature flow and isoperimetric inequalities*, video available at `https://video.ias.edu/node/233` (2009).

[26] ———, *An isoperimetric concept for the mass in General Relativity*, video available at `http://video.ias.edu/node/234` (2009).

[27] Gerhard Huisken and Tom Ilmanen, *The inverse mean curvature flow and the Riemannian Penrose inequality*, J. Differential Geom. **59** (2001), no. 3, 353–437. MR 1916951

[28] Gerhard Huisken and Shing-Tung Yau, *Definition of center of mass for isolated physical systems and unique foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1-3, 281–311. MR 1369419

[29] Jeffrey L. Jauregui and Dan A. Lee, *Lower semicontinuity of mass under C^0 convergence and Huisken's isoperimetric mass*, preprint, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.00732` (2016).

[30] Dandan Ji, Yuguang Shi, and Bo Zhu, *Exhaustion of isoperimetric regions in asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds with scalar curvature $r \geq -6$*, to appear in Comm. Anal. Geom., `http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.02732` (2015).

[31] Shiguang Ma, *Uniqueness of the foliation of constant mean curvature spheres in asymptotically flat 3-manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **252** (2011), no. 1, 145–179. MR 2862146

[32] ———, *Unstable CMC spheres and outlying CMC spheres in AF 3-manifolds*, preprint, `https://arxiv.org/abs/1507.02767` (2015).

[33] ———, *On the radius pinching estimate and uniqueness of the CMC foliation in asymptotically flat 3-manifolds*, Adv. Math. **288** (2016), 942–984. MR 3436403

[34] Jan Metzger, *Foliations of asymptotically flat 3-manifolds by 2-surfaces of prescribed mean curvature*, J. Differential Geom. **77** (2007), no. 2, 201–236. MR 2355784

[35] Frank Morgan and Manuel Ritoré, *Isoperimetric regions in cones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), no. 6, 2327–2339. MR 1885654

[36] Christopher Nerz, *Foliations by stable spheres with constant mean curvature for isolated systems without asymptotic symmetry*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 2, 1911–1946. MR 3396437

[37] ———, *Geometric characterizations of asymptotic flatness and linear momentum in general relativity*, J. Funct. Anal. **269** (2015), no. 12, 3812–3867. MR 3418072

[38] André Neves and Gang Tian, *Existence and uniqueness of constant mean curvature foliation of asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2009), no. 3, 910–942. MR 2563773

[39] ———, *Existence and uniqueness of constant mean curvature foliation of asymptotically hyperbolic 3-manifolds. II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **641** (2010), 69–93. MR 2643925

[40] Frank Pacard and Xingwang Xu, *Constant mean curvature spheres in Riemannian manifolds*, Manuscripta Math. **128** (2009), no. 3, 275–295. MR 2481045

[41] Daniel Perez, *On nearly umbilical hypersurfaces*, Ph.D. thesis, University of Zurich, available at `http://user.math.uzh.ch/delellis/uploads/media/Daniel.pdf`, 2011.

[42] Jie Qing and Gang Tian, *On the uniqueness of the foliation of spheres of constant mean curvature in asymptotically flat 3-manifolds*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 4, 1091–1110. MR 2328717

[43] Antonio Ros, *The isoperimetric problem*, Global theory of minimal surfaces, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 2, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005, pp. 175–209. MR 2167260

[44] Richard Schoen and Shing-Tung Yau, *On the proof of the positive mass conjecture in general relativity*, Comm. Math. Phys. **65** (1979), no. 1, 45–76. MR 526976

[45] Leon Simon, *A Hölder estimate for quasiconformal maps between surfaces in Euclidean space*, Acta Math. **139** (1977), no. 1-s2, 19–51. MR 452746

[46] Leon Simon, *Lectures on geometric measure theory*, Proceedings of the Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Australian National University, vol. 3, Australian National University, Centre for Mathematical Analysis, Canberra, 1983.

[47] Rugang Ye, *Foliation by constant mean curvature spheres on asymptotically flat manifolds*, Geometric analysis and the calculus of variations, Int. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1996, pp. 369–383. MR 1449417

Princeton University, Department of Mathematics, Fine Hall, Washington Road, Princeton, NJ, 08544, United States

E-mail address: `ochodosh@princeton.edu`

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna, Oskar-Morgenstern-Platz 1, 1090 Vienna, Austria

E-mail address: `michael.eichmair@univie.ac.at`